

## ON A THEOREM OF KEMER

BY

ALLAN BERELE<sup>†</sup>*Department of Mathematics, C-012, University of California,  
San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA*

## ABSTRACT

Kemer has shown that the standard identity  $s_n$  implies some Capelli identity  $d_m$ . We prove that  $m$  is bounded above by a subexponential function of  $n$ .

In [1] Kemer shows that every (associative, characteristic zero) algebra which satisfies a standard identity  $s_n$  must also satisfy some Capelli identity  $d_m$ . Let

$k(n)$  = the least integer such that  $d_{k(n)}$  is a consequence of  $s_n$ .

The function  $k(n)$  is not discussed explicitly in [1], although it is not difficult to show from the proof of Theorem 1 that, for  $n$  even,

$$k(n) \leq \left( \binom{n}{2} + 1 \right)^n.$$

In this paper we show that  $k(n)$  is a subexponential function: if  $m$  = the least integer  $\geq \log_2(3n - 1)$ , then

$$k(n) \leq \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \right)^m.$$

Our proof involves only a small modification of Kemer's.

Since  $m \times m$  matrices satisfy  $s_{2m}$  but not  $d_{m^2}$ ,  $k(2m) \geq m^2 + 1$ . We do not know whether  $k(n)$  is bounded above by any polynomial.

We keep the notation of [1]:

NOTATION. (a)  $S_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma x_{\sigma_1} \cdots x_{\sigma_n}$ .  $I_n$  = the  $T$ -ideal generated by  $s_n$ .

Received March 13, 1984 and in revised form December 17, 1984

<sup>†</sup> *Current address.* Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

(b)  $d_m(x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^\sigma x_{\sigma_1} y_1 x_{\sigma_2} y_2 \cdots y_{m-1} x_{\sigma_m}$ .  $V_m$  = the  $T$ -ideal generated by  $d_m$  together with all polynomials gotten from  $d_m$  by formally setting some subset of the  $y$ 's equal to 1.

(c)  $G$  = the  $F$ -algebra generated by  $y_1, y_2, \dots, e_1, e_2, \dots$  with relations  $y_i u y_j + y_j u y_i = 0$  for all  $u$ .

(d)  $W_m$  = the set of words of length  $m$  in  $e_1, e_2, \dots$ . If  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W_m, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in G$  and  $\sigma \in S_n$ , then

$$w_{\sigma_1} g_1 w_{\sigma_2} g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} w_{\sigma_n} = \varepsilon w_1 g_1 w_2 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} w_{n-1},$$

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  if  $m$  is even and  $\varepsilon = (-1)^\sigma$  if  $m$  is odd.

(e)  $T_m$  = the ideal of  $G$  generated by  $W_m$ .

(f) If  $A$  is a  $T$ -ideal, then  $A(G)$  is the set of evaluations of  $A$  on  $G$ . Note that  $W_m$  and hence  $T_m$  is contained in  $I_m(G)$ .

We also recall

LEMMA (Kemer). *Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -ideal. Then  $A$  contains  $V_m$  if and only if  $T_1^m \subseteq A(G)$ .*

Following Kemer we take  $n = 2r$  to be even. Now the proof of his theorem 1 can be modified to yield:

LEMMA. *Let  $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  be the polynomial  $\sum_{\sigma \in S_r} x_{\sigma_1} \cdots x_{\sigma_r}$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_{r+1} \in W_m G$ .*

(1) *If  $m$  is odd, then*

$$h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) x_{r+1} \in T_{2m} + I_n(G).$$

(2) *If  $m$  is even, then*

$$h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) x_{r+1} \in T_{2m-1} + I_n(G).$$

PROOF. (1) Let  $x_i = w_i g_i, w_i \in W_m, i = 1, \dots, r + 1$ , and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) x_{r+1} &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} w_{\sigma_1} g_{\sigma_1} \cdots w_{\sigma_r} g_{\sigma_r} w_{r+1} g_{r+1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^\sigma w_1 g_{\sigma_1} \cdots w_r g_{\sigma_r} w_{r+1} g_{r+1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_r} (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau w_{\tau_1} g_{\sigma_1} \cdots w_{\tau_r} g_{\sigma_r} w_{r+1} g_{r+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} s_n(w_1, g_1, \dots, w_r, g_r) w_{r+1} g_{r+1} + \text{an element of } T_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $x_i = w_i g_i$ , where  $w_i \in W_{m-1}$ ,  $g_i \in T_1 G$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r + 1$  and proceed as in (1).

REMARK. If  $n = 2r + 1$  is odd the lemma is still true: one need only consider  $s_n(w_1, g_1, \dots, w_r, g_r, w_{r+1})g_{r+1}$  instead of  $s_n(w_1, g_1, \dots, w_r, g_r)w_{r+1}g_{r+1}$ .

COROLLARY. If  $m$  is even, then  $T_m^{r^2+1} \subseteq T_{2m} + I_n(G)$  and if  $m$  is odd then  $T_m^{r^2+1} \subseteq T_{2m-1} + I_n(G)$ .

PROOF. By Razmyslov's version of the Nagata-Higman theorem [2], if an algebra satisfies  $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  then it must be nilpotent of index  $r^2$ . So

$$x_1 \cdots x_{r^2} = \text{linear combination of terms of the form } u_1 h_r(u_2, \dots, u_{r+1}) u_{r+2},$$

where the  $u$ 's are (possibly empty) words in the  $x$ 's. Multiplying both sides on the right by  $x_{r^2+1}$  shows that if an algebra satisfies  $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)x_{r+1}$  then it is nilpotent of index  $r^2 + 1$ .

Applying this to the previous lemma yields that  $(W_m G)^{r^2+1}$  is contained in the appropriate ideal, and so  $T_m$  also is.

In light of this corollary we define

DEFINITION. Let  $f$  be the integer function given by  $f(1) = 1$  and

$$f(m + 1) = \begin{cases} 2f(m) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 2f(m) - 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show by induction that  $T_1^{(r^2+1)^m} \subseteq T_{f(m)} + I_n(G)$ . Since  $T_n \subseteq I_n$ , if  $f(m) \geq n$  then  $T_1^{(r^2+1)^m} \subseteq I_n(G)$  or

PROPOSITION. If  $f(m) \geq n$  and  $k = (r^2 + 1)^m$ , then  $T_1^k \subseteq I_n$ , i.e.,  $s_n$  implies  $d_{(r^2+1)^m}$ .

To calculate  $f(m)$  we first remark that

$$f(m + 2) = \begin{cases} 4f(m) - 2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 4f(m) - 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is now a straightforward calculation using the theory of linear recursion that

$$f(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(2^m + 2) & m \text{ even,} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2^m + 1) & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM.** *Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $m \geq \log_2(2n - 1)$  and  $k = (r^2 + 1)^m$ . Then  $s_n$  implies the Capelli identity  $d_k$ .*

**REMARK.** It is not known whether  $s_n$  implies  $d_m$  in arbitrary characteristic. Our proof will hold in a slightly weaker form in characteristic  $\geq n!$ . The Nagata-Higman theorem is known for characteristic  $\geq n!$ , but it is not clear whether Razmyslov's bound of  $n^2$  will still hold in this case.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to A. Braun and C. Dean for useful conversations.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. R. Kemer, *Remark on the standard identity*, Mat. Zametki 23(5) (1978), 753–757 (Math. Notes 23(5) (1978)).
2. Ju. P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Izv. Akad. Nauk, SSR Ser. Mat. 38 (1974), 723–756 (Math. USSR Izv. 8 (1974)).